

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATION NUMBER 240316

ADDENDUM NO. 2

Date: OCTOBER 29, 2024

Re: SEQUIM SUBSTATION MICROGRID DESIGN

To: ALL PROSPECTIVE CONSULTANTS

This Addendum No. 2 forms a part of the Request for Qualifications and modifies the original request dated October 15, 2024. This Addendum is issued to clarify, revise, add to, or delete from the original documents. Consultants shall determine the affect by the Addendum item(s).

This Addendum consists of:

NOTIFICATION, CLARIFICATIONS, AND ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

- 1.) Is this for design only, completed by 2/27/2025?
 - ➤ This Request for Qualifications is for design only and to be completed by 2/27/2025. We are doing the construction portion as part of a separate grant.
- 2.) Did the PUD size the batteries or was an assessment report completed by a consultant?
 - ➤ In the initial stages, HOMER modeling software was used to get a general idea of asset sizing. The project scope changed and the HOMER data was no longer relevant for this Request for Qualification.
- 3.) Has an environmental assessment been completed for the substation site? There will be some foundations required for the new building with conduits/trenching between the new and existing electrical systems around the site. Was an assessment performed for the recent PV project at the site? Or will this project need to include an environmental assessment?
 - > No assessment has been done and one is not required for this project. There is an existing concrete slab with conduits that we would like to re-use.
- 4.) The same question with regard to a geotechnical assessment to determine foundation information, was a Geotech report created for the PV project? Or will the new project need to include a geotechnical assessment?
 - No geotechnical report was done and one is not required for this project. There is an existing concrete slab with conduits that we would like to re-use.

- 5.) Will the project accept a pre-packaged solution where the battery (and possibly the inverter) and EMS are packaged into a container as opposed to site stick built and integrated? This may not be possible but would like to know if the project might accept that approach.
 - > The PUD will consider this option, but it must be able to fit on our existing concrete pad in the microgrid location.
- 6.) In the Statement of Work for the project under the Project Approach, a list of staff members is requested with their labor category. Is there a list of labor categories that the consultants should adhere to so that you have a consistent response from each of the Qualifications packages? Or do we just use what we define as the labor category for each staff member?
 - Use your own definitions for labor categories

This addendum consists of two (2) pages. THIS ADDENDUM SHEET MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED AND INCLUDED WITH SUBMITTAL.

Addendum No. 2 acknowledged by:	
Firm:	
By:(Signature)	(Typed or Printed)
Title:	Date: